

## Vetting criteria of the health projects

Category		Criteria	Score
ELIMINATION QUESTIONS	OVERALL HRP RELEVANCE	Project contributes to at least one of the HRP Strategic Objectives	(Yes or No?)
		Project is a humanitarian intervention	(Yes or No?)
		Project location is: Gaza (ARA, rest of Gaza), West Bank (EJ, H2, Area C, with Areas A&B <b>only applicable for FSS</b> )	(Yes or No?)
	NEEDS BASED	Based on a needs assessment within last 12 months?	(Yes or No? Unless it targets priority group(s) in HNO for which it is agreed data not available)
		Project targets vulnerable group(s) identified in the HNO	(Yes or No?)
	STRATEGIC RELEVANCE TO CLUSTER	Project contributes to the cluster activities and indicators	(Yes or No?)
		Partner is an active cluster member	(Yes or No?)
	MONITORING & EVALUATION	Project includes a sound M&E mechanism	(Yes or No?)
GENDER MARKER CODE	Gender marker code (self-assessment by the appealing partner)	(0, 1, 2A, 2B)	
	Gender marker code after review by cluster gender focal point	(0, 1, 2A, 2B)	
	Gender marker code after 2nd review by cluster coordinator for cluster final vetting panel	(0, 1, 2A, 2B)	
PROTECTION MAINSTREAMING	The project shows evidence of protection mainstreaming	(score range: 10 - 7 - 5 - 0)	
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT	The project has been designed in consultation with affected community members, and / or has a built-in feedback mechanism with the community?	(score range: 10 - 7 - 5 - 0)	
LOCAL PARTNERSHIPS	Project is in partnership with a local NGO/national counterpart or has been submitted by a local NGO/national counterpart	(score range: 10 - 7 - 5 - 0)	
BUDGET AND TIMEFRAME	If single-year, the project can realistically be implemented in 12 months	(Yes or No? If No, the project should at least be returned for revision)	
	Administrative costs must not exceed 11 per cent	(score range: for each % point below 11, score 1 point)	
CLUSTER SPECIFIC CRITERIA	Health Cluster does not consider activities that are part of the core function of the partner. Partners may expand their current services	(20 new initiative, 10 meet criteria, 0 fail)	(the maximum total score for cluster criteria is 60 points, therefore please provide between 2-6 criteria, weighted between 10-30 points each)
	emergency preparedness component within their project proposals to ensure resilience and contribute to the 'building back better' concept.	(20 in most sections, 10 partial, 0 none)	
	Demonstrate a commitment to mainstreaming the needs of people with disability in their programme delivery, and the project sheet should have evidence of this	(20 full, 10 most components, 5 some components, 0 none)	