

Vetting criteria of the health projects 2022

Step	Category	Criteria	Score
Step 1: Review the new project's overall relevance to the 2022 HRP and its strategic relevance to the Cluster	OVERALL HRP RELEVANCE	Project responds to a new need or deterioration of existing needs as identified in the 2022 HNO	(Yes or No)?
		Project contributes to at least one of the HRP Strategic Objectives	(Yes or No)?
		Project is a humanitarian intervention	(Yes or No)?
		Project location is: Gaza, West Bank (EJ, H2, Area C, with Areas A&B <u>based on needs analysis/MSNA key findings</u>)	(Yes or No)?
	NEEDS BASED	Based on a needs assessment within last 12 months?	(Yes or No? Unless it targets priority group(s) in HNO for which it is agreed data not available)
		Project targets vulnerable/demographic group(s) identified in the HNO/MSNA	(Yes or No)?
	STRATEGIC RELEVANCE TO CLUSTER	Project contributes to the Cluster activities and indicators	(Yes or No)?
		Partner is an active Cluster member	(Yes or No)?
		Partner is reporting his activities/projects in PARP	(Yes or No)?
		The project must demonstrate that it includes a sound M&E framework	(Yes or No)?
DOES THE PROJECT FULLFIL THE BASIC REQUIRMENTS?			Are all the answers above answered by YES? If so, please proceed. If not, the project does not meet the minimum criteria to be included.
Step 2: If the project passes the 1st level review, the vetting panel must look into the programming quality of the project design, along the following areas:	GENDER AND AGE MARKER CODE	The project shows evidence of gender and age mainstreaming	(score range: 8 - 5 - 3 - 0)
	PROTECTION MAINSTREAMING	The project shows evidence of protection mainstreaming	(score range: 8 - 5 - 3 - 0)
	COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT	The project has been designed in consultation with affected community members, and / or has a built-in feedback mechanism with the community?	(score range: 8 - 5 - 3 - 0)
	PSEA	The project includes reporting on PSEA compulsory indicator	(Yes or No)?

Step	Category	Criteria	Score
		The project shows evidence of PSEA activities built into the project	(score range: 8 - 5 - 3 - 0)
	LOCAL PARTNERSHIPS	The project is in partnership with a local NGO/national counterpart or has been submitted by a local NGO/national counterpart	(score range: 8 - 5 - 3 - 0)
	BUDGET	Administrative costs do not exceed 11 per cent	(score range: for each % point below 11, score 1 point) (e.g. if the administrative costs are 7%, the project receives 4 points; if the administrative costs are 8%, the project will receive 3 points)
	COMMON CRITERIA SCORING		Total (maximum score = 44) the project should score 31 points (70%) and above to pass to step 3
Step 3: Cluster-specific criteria	CLUSTER SPECIFIC CRITERIA (the maximum total score for cluster criteria is 60 points, therefore please provide between 2-6 criteria, weighted between 10-30 points each)	Demonstrate a commitment to mainstreaming the needs of people with disability in their programme delivery, and the project sheet should have evidence of this	(score range: 20 full, 10 partial, 0 none)
		Emergency preparedness incorporated to ensure capacity to respond to shock events (facility-based)	(score range: 20 full, 10 partial, 0 none)
		Demonstrates consideration of importance of building resilience and 'building back better' concept (community-based)	(score range: 20 full, 10 partial, 0 none)
Total Scoring			TOTAL (maximum score = 104) Accepted project should at least score 31 in programming quality and 35 in cluster-specific criteria
VETTING OUTCOME			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ACCEPTED • REJECTED • RETURNED TO PARTNER FOR IMPROVEMENT